arXiv:math/9809162v1 [math.CA] 28 Sep 1998

THE PRECISE RANGE OF INDICES FOR THE RH_R- AND A_P- WEIGHT CLASSES

C.J. Neugebauer

Abstract. For $w \in A_p(RH_r)$ we determine the precise range of indices so that $w \in RH_r(A_p)$, the precise range of $q < p$ for which $w \in A_q$, and the precise range of $\tau > 1$ for which $w^\tau \in A_p$.

1. Introduction. The weight classes which we will study in this paper control weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators, etc., and are defined as follows. A weight w , i.e., $w : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$, is in the reverse Hölder class $RH_r, 1 < r < \infty$, provided $\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w^r\right)^{1/r} \leq c\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w$, and $w \in A_p$ iff $\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \leq c$ (see [2]), where Q is an arbitrary cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$. These classes are related, and we have $A_\infty \equiv \cup_{p<\infty} A_p = \cup_{1<r} RH_r$. The purpose of this paper is the following.

1. For $w \in A_p$ find the precise range of r's such that $w \in RH_r$, the precise range of $q < p$ for which $w \in A_q$, and the precise range of $\tau > 1$ such that $w^\tau \in A_p$.

2. For $w \in RH_r$ find the precise range of p's such that $w \in A_p$, and the precise range of $q > r$ for which $w \in RH_q$.

The higher integrability of $w \in RH_r$ is due to Gehring [3], i.e., there exists $p > r$ such that $w \in RH_p$. Closely related to this is the property that $w \in A_p$ implies the existence of $q < p$ such that $w \in A_q$. The exact range of higher order integrability for $w \in RH_r$ has been found recently by Kinnunen [4] for $n = 1$. The sharp upper index is given as the solution to $c\frac{p-r}{r}(p')^r = 1$, where c is the constant in $\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^r \leq c\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w\right)^r$. Our upper bound has a different form and depends upon appropriate factorizations of w. Apart from factorizations our proofs will be along the lines of [4].

In sections 2 and 3 our analysis will be in $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{R}^n$-versions of these results will be taken up in section 4.

2. The classes $\mathbf{A_1}$ and $\mathbf{RH_\infty}$. Throughout I will denote an arbitrary interval in $\mathbb{R}$. For $w \in A_1$, we let $A_1(w)$ be the inf of all constants c for which

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B25.
Key words and phrases. A_p weight, reverse Hölder RH_r.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w \le c \inf_I w$, and for $w \in RH_\infty$, we denote by $RH_\infty(w)$ the inf of all constants c such that $\sup_I w \le c\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w$ for all intervals I. The classes A_1 and RH_∞ generate A_∞ in the sense that $A_\infty = A_1 \cdot RH_\infty$, and RH_∞ plays the same role relative to RH_r as A_1 does to A_p [1].

Theorem 1. *Let $w \in A_1$ and let $c = A_1(w)$. Then $w \in RH_r$ for all $1 < r < \frac{c}{c-1}$. The range of r's is best possible on $\mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. This is one of the results in Kinnunen's thesis [4]. The proof consists by showing first that for $\lambda \ge \inf_I w \equiv \lambda_I'$

$$\int_{\{x\in I: w(x)>\lambda\}} w\,dx \le c\lambda|\{x \in I : w(x) > \lambda\}| \tag{1}$$

where $c = A_1(w)$. This is a reverse Chebyshev inequality and is in fact equivalent with $w \in A_1$ [4]. Next multiply this inequality by $\lambda^r, r > -1$ and integrate with respect to λ from λ_I' to ∞. We shall be more precise in section 4 when we treat the $\mathbb{R}^n$- case using the same type of distributional inequality. The weight $x^{1/c-1}, c > 1$ shows that the range of r is best possible on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Remark: A. Torre pointed out to me that on $\mathbb{R}$ the weight $w(x) = |x|^{1/c-1}, c > 1$ does not provide an example for the precise range since $A_1(w) \le 2c$. This is easily seen by considering intervals $I = [a, b]$ with $a < 0 < b$ and $|a| \le b$. However, if we define for $w \in A_1$, $c_w = \inf c$ for which $\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w \le c \inf_I w$ for all intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, then $w \in RH_p, 1 < p < c_w/(c_w - 1)$. To prove this, observe first that $w \in RH_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap RH_p(\mathbb{R}_-)$ for $1 < p < c_w/(c_w - 1)$ by Theorem 1. If $I = [a, b]$ with $a < 0 < b, |a| \le b$, and if $I_0 = [-b, b]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^p \le \frac{2}{|I_0|}\int_{I_0} w^p \le \frac{1}{b}\int_{-b}^0 w^p + \frac{1}{b}\int_0^b w^p \\ \le c\left((\frac{1}{b}\int_{-b}^0 w)^p + (\frac{1}{b}\int_0^b w)^p\right) \le c_p(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w)^p,\end{aligned}$$

for $1 < p < c_w/(c_w - 1)$. This range is best possible, as the weight $w(x) = |x|^{1/c-1}, c > 1$, shows. The same type of remark applies to the remaining Theorems of this note.

Theorem 2. *Let $w \in RH_\infty$ and let $c = RH_\infty(w)$. Then $w \in A_p$ for all $p > c$, and the range of p's is best possible on $\mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. We first show that for $0 < \lambda \le \lambda_I \equiv \sup_I w$

$$\lambda|\{x \in I : w(x) < \lambda\}| \le c\int_{\{x\in I: w(x)<\lambda\}} w \tag{2}$$

where $c = RH_\infty(w)$. If G is an arbitrary open set with $I \cap \{w < \lambda\} \subset G \subset I$, then we have to show that $L \le c\int_G w$, where L is the left side of the above inequality.

There are two cases. If $|G| = |I|$, then $L \le \lambda|G| = \lambda|I| \le c\int_I w = \int_G w$. If $|G| < |I|$, we cover G by a disjoint union of intevals $\{I_j\}$ maximal with respect to $|I_j \setminus G| = 0$. Then $|G| = \sum |I_j|$, and $|\sigma I_j \setminus G| > 0$ for every $\sigma > 1$, where σI is the interval concentric with I and of length $\sigma|I|$. It follows that $\sup_{\sigma I_j} w \ge \lambda$ and thus for every $\sigma > 1$

$$\lambda|\sigma I_j| \le c\int_{\sigma I_j} w.$$

We now let $\sigma \searrow 1$ and obtain $\lambda|I_j| \le c\int_{I_j} w$. Consequently

$$L \le \sum \lambda|I_j| \le c\sum \int_{I_j} w = c\int_G w.$$

Below we need the finiteness of $\int_I 1/w$ and for that purpose we truncate w from below, i.e., for $0 < \beta < \lambda \le \lambda_I$ let

$$w_\beta(x) = \begin{cases} w(x), & w(x) > \beta \\ \beta, & w(x) \le \beta. \end{cases}$$

Since $RH_\infty(w_\beta) \le c$ we have the same inequality (2) with w replaced by w_β. We multiply this inequality by $\lambda^{-r}, r > 2$ and integrate to get

$$\int_\beta^{\lambda_I} \frac{1}{\lambda^{r-1}} \int \chi_{\{w_\beta < \lambda\}\cap I}(x)\, dx\, d\lambda \le c\int_\beta^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda^r} \int_{\{w_\beta<\lambda\}\cap I} w\, dx\, d\lambda.$$

In the left side of the above inequality we interchange the order of integration and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_I \int_{w_\beta(x)}^{\lambda_I} \frac{1}{\lambda^{r-1}}\, d\lambda\, dx &= \frac{1}{r-2}\int_I \left(\frac{1}{w_\beta^{r-2}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_I^{r-2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r-2}\int_I \frac{dx}{w_\beta^{r-2}} - \frac{1}{r-2}\frac{|I|}{\lambda_I^{r-2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the right side equals

$$\int_I \int_{w_\beta(x)}^\infty w(x)\frac{1}{\lambda^r}\, d\lambda\, dx = \frac{1}{r-1}\int_I \frac{dx}{w_\beta^{r-2}}.$$

Hence

$$\int_I \frac{dx}{w_\beta^{r-2}} \le c\frac{r-2}{r-1}\int_I \frac{dx}{w_\beta^{r-2}} + \frac{|I|}{\lambda_I^{r-2}}.$$

Next choose $r > 2$ so that $c(r-2) < r-1$. Since the integrals involved are $< \infty$ we see that

$$C\int_I \frac{dx}{w_\beta^\alpha} \le \frac{|I|}{\lambda_I^\alpha},$$

where $\alpha = r-2$. We let $\beta \searrow 0$ and get that $w^{-\alpha} \in A_1$. This, of course, immediately implies that $w \in A_{1+1/\alpha}$. Also observe that $c\alpha < \alpha + 1$ is equivalent with $p = 1 + 1/\alpha > c$.

The weight $w(x) = x^{c-1}, \quad c > 1$ has $RH_\infty(w) = c$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and is in A_p for $p > c$, but not in A_c.

REMARK:(i) It is easy to see that (2) is actually equivalent with $w \in RH_\infty$. (ii) For an example of the best range on $\mathbb{R}$ proceed as in the remark after Theorem 1.

3. The classes $\mathbf{A_p}$ and $\mathbf{RH_r}$. In this section we use Theorems 1,2 for the extension to the classes A_p and RH_r, and, as we shall see, the range of the indices will be governed by factorizations of the weight. In [1] we have shown that $w \in RH_r$ iff $w = w_0 w_1$ where $w_0 \in RH_\infty$ and $w_1 \in RH_r \cap A_1$. But then $w_1^r \in A_1$, and thus $w \in RH_r$ iff $w = uv^{1/r}$ with $u \in RH_\infty$ and $v \in A_1$. We shall also use the factorization [2] for A_p, i.e., $w \in A_p$ iff $w = uv^{1-p}$ with $u, v \in A_1$.

Theorem 3. *Let $w = uv^{1/r}$ be in RH_r with $u \in RH_\infty$ and $v \in A_1$, and let $c_1 = RH_\infty(u)$. Then $w \in A_p$ for all $p > c_1$. This range of p's is best possible on $\mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. Let $p > c_1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I uv^{1/r}\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I (uv^{1/r})^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \leq$$
$$\sup_I u \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I v^{1/r}\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \sup_I \frac{1}{v^{1/r}} \leq$$
$$c\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u \inf_I v^{1/r}\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \sup_I \frac{1}{v^{1/r}} \leq C,$$

since $u \in A_p, p > c_1$ by Theorem 2.

The weight $w(x) = x^{c_1-1}$ which is in $RH_\infty \subset RH_r$ shows that the range of p's is best possible on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

The next result will give us the precise range of higher integrability of $w \in RH_r$.

Theorem 4. *Let $w = uv^{1/r}$ be in RH_r with $u \in RH_\infty$ and $v \in A_1$. If $c_2 = A_1(v)$, then $w \in RH_p$ for all $r \leq p < c_2 r/(c_2 - 1)$. The range of p's is best possible on $\mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. Let p satisfy the above inequality, and then choose $q > 1$ such that

$$p < \frac{c_2 r}{q(c_2 - 1)}.$$

Since $1 \leq \dfrac{pq}{r} < \dfrac{c_2}{c_2 - 1}$, by Theorem 1, $v \in RH_{qp/r}$. This and Hölder's inequality gives us

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^p = \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u^p v^{p/r} \leq \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u^{q'p}\right)^{1/q'}\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I v^{qp/r}\right)^{1/q}$$
$$\leq \sup_I u^p \cdot c\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I v\right)^{p/r} \leq c' \sup_I u^p \cdot \inf_I v^{p/r} \leq c''\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I uv^{1/r}\right)^p,$$

since $u \in RH_\infty$.

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and consider the weight $w(x) = x^{-\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$.. Then $w \in RH_r$ for $1 < r < 1/\alpha$. We fix such an r and write $w = v^{1/r}, \quad v = x^{-\alpha r}$. This is the factorization $w = uv^{1/r}$ with $u \equiv 1$. Since $A_1(v) = c_2 = 1/(1-\alpha r)$ we see that $c_2 r/(c_2 - 1) = 1/\alpha$ which is the precise upper bound of higher integrability for this weight.

For the next Theorem we need the fact [1] that $v \in A_1$ implies that $(1/v)^\gamma \in RH_\infty$ for every $\gamma > 0$.

Theorem 5. *Let $w = uv^{1-p}$ be in A_p with $u, v \in A_1$, and let $c = A_1(u)$. Then $w \in RH_r$ for all $1 < r < c/(c-1)$. The range of r's is best possible on $\mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. We use Theorem 1 and observe that

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^r = \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u^r \frac{1}{v^{r(p-1)}} \leq$$
$$\sup_I \frac{1}{v^{r(p-1)}} \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u^r \leq C \sup_I \frac{1}{v^{r(p-1)}} \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u\right)^r \leq$$
$$C\, A_1(u)^r \sup_I \frac{1}{v^{r(p-1)}} \cdot (\inf_I u)^r \leq$$
$$C\, A_1(u)^r \cdot c^r \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I \frac{1}{v^{p-1}} \cdot \inf_I u\right)^r \leq$$
$$C\, A_1(u)^r c^r \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w\right)^r.$$

The example in Theorem 1 shows that the range of r's is best possible on $\mathbb{R}_+$..

Corollary.. *Let $w = uv^{1-p}$ be in A_p with $u, v \in A_1$. If $c = \max\{A_1(u), A_1(v)\}$, then $w^\tau \in A_p$ for $1 \leq \tau < c/(c-1)$ and the range of τ's is best possible on $\mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. From Theorem 5 we have that w and $w^{1-p'}$ are in RH_τ for $1 \leq \tau < c/(c-1)$ and hence $w^\tau \in A_p$. The weight $w(x) = x^{-\alpha}, 0 < \alpha < 1$ is in $A_1 \subset A_p$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$, and thus we can take $u = x^{-\alpha}, v \equiv 1$. Then $c = 1/(1-\alpha)$ and thus $c/(c-1) = 1/\alpha$, which is best possible.

We will now use Theorem 5 to get the exact range on $q < p$ such that $w \in A_p$ implies $w \in A_q$.

Theorem 6. *Let $w = uv^{1-p}$ be in A_p with $u, v \in A_1$ and let $c_* = A_1(v)$. Then $w \in A_q$ for all q satisfying*

$$\frac{(p-1)(c_*-1)}{c_*} + 1 < q \leq p.$$

The range of q's is best possible on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. Since $w^{1-p'} = vu^{1-p'}$ is in $A_{p'}$ we get from Theorem 5 that $w^{1-p'} \in RH_r$ for $1 < r < c_*/(c_*-1)$. Hence since $w \in A_p$

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^{r(1-p')}\right)^{(p-1)/r} \leq c\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \leq C < \infty.$$

Thus $w \in A_q, \quad q = 1 + (p-1)/r$, i.e.,$(p-1)(c_* - 1)/c_* + 1 < q \leq p$.

We will now show that this range is best possible. Let on $\mathbb{R}_+$, $w(x) = x$ and fix $p_0 > 2$. Then $w \in A_{p_0}$ and $w = v^{1-p_0}$ with $v = x^{1-p_0'} \in A_1$. Since $A_1(v) = 1/(2-p_0') = c_*$ the lower bound of the range of q's given above is 2. It is known [2] that $w \in A_q$ exactly for $q > 2$.

4. Extensions to $\mathbb{R}^n$. The results in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n > 1$, are somewhat different from the $n = 1$ versions, and the reason is that we do not know whether the covering of an open set used in Theorem 2 , i.e., $G \subset \cup I_j$, where the I_j's are disjoint, $|I_j \setminus G| = 0$, and for $\sigma > 1, \quad |\sigma I_j \setminus G| > 0$, has a corresponding analogue in $\mathbb{R}^n$. To avoid this difficulty, we consider for $k \geq 3$ the classes $A_{1,k}$ and $RH_{\infty,k}$ defined as follows. We say that $w \in A_{1,k}$ iff $\dfrac{1}{|Q|}\displaystyle\int_Q w \leq c_k' \inf_{kQ} w$, and we say that $w \in RH_{\infty,k}$ iff $\sup\limits_{kQ} w \leq c_k'' \dfrac{1}{|Q|}\displaystyle\int_Q w$, where Q is the generic notation of a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and kQ is the cube concentric with Q having side-length $k\times$ the side-length of Q.

It is easily seen that $A_{1,k} = A_1$ and $RH_{\infty,k} = RH_\infty$. The first equality is obvious, and in the second use the fact that $w \in RH_\infty$ is doubling. It is also clear that $A_1(w) \leq c_k'$ and $RH_\infty(w) \leq c_k''$.

Theorem 7. *Let $w \in A_{1,k}$ with constant c_k'. Then $w \in RH_r$ for $1 < r < c_k'/(c_k' - 1)$.*

Proof. This is the n-dimensional version of Theorem 1, and we follow the proof in [4]. We first establish

$$\int_{\{x \in Q : w(x) > \lambda\}} w \leq c_k' \lambda |\{x \in Q : w(x) > \lambda\}| \tag{3}$$

for every $\lambda \geq \inf_Q w \equiv \lambda_Q'$. To prove this, let G be an arbitrary open set with $\{w > \lambda\} \cap Q \subset G \subset Q$. We need to show that

$$\int_G w \leq c_k' \lambda |G|.$$

This follows if $|Q| = |G|$. If $|G| < |Q|$, we let Δ be the collection of the dyadic cubes in Q generated by Q. For each $x \in G$, let $\tilde{Q}_1(x) \in \Delta$ be the cube of least side-lenght containing x such that $|\tilde{Q}_1 \setminus G| > 0$. The next generation dyadic subcube of $\tilde{Q}_1$ containing x, say $Q_1(x)$ has the property that $|Q_1(x) \setminus G| = 0$. Since we are dealing with dyadic cubes, we can in this way write $G \subset \cup Q_j$, where the Q_j's are non-overlapping, $|Q_j \setminus G| = 0$, and $|kQ_j \setminus G| > 0$ for each j. Thus $\inf_{kQ_j} w \leq \lambda$. From this we see that

$$\int_G w \leq \sum \int_{Q_j} w \leq c_k' \lambda \sum |Q_j| = c_k' \lambda |G|.$$

The rest of the argument is exactly the same as in [4].

REMARK: The best range of Theorem 7 is not as exact as the range of Theorem 1, but it is so within $\epsilon > 0$. We work on $\mathbb{R}_+$, and there $w \in A_{1,k}$ means: For every $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w \leq c'_k \inf_{kI\cap\mathbb{R}_+} w, k > 1.$$

Then by Theorem 7, $w \in RH_r(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $1 < r < c'_k/(c'_k - 1)$. Let now $w(x) = x^{-\gamma}, 0 < \gamma < 1$, and let $c_{k,\gamma} = \inf c'_k$ for which the above displayed inequality holds. We claim that

$$c_{k,\gamma} = \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)^{\gamma}.$$

If $I = [\alpha, \beta], \alpha \geq 0$, then the right endpoint of kI is $b = \alpha + (\beta - \alpha)(k+1)/2$. If we let $J = [0, \beta]$, then

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I x^{-\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{|J|}\int_J x^{-\gamma} = \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)^{\gamma} b_1^{-\gamma},$$

where $b_1 = \beta(k+1)/2 = \inf_{kJ\cap\mathbb{R}_+} w$. Since $k > 1$, $b_1 > b$, and thus $b_1^{-\gamma} < b^{-\gamma}$, and our claim follows.

Next we note that

$$\frac{c_{k,\gamma}}{c_{k,\gamma} - 1} = \frac{(k+1)^{\gamma}}{(k+1)^{\gamma} - 2^{\gamma}(1-\gamma)} < \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

Let now $\epsilon > 0$. Since, as $\gamma \nearrow 1$, $1/(\gamma+\epsilon) \to 1/(1+\epsilon)$, and since $c_{k,\gamma}/(c_{k,\gamma}-1) > 1$, there is $0 < \gamma = \gamma_\epsilon < 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma+\epsilon} < \frac{c_{k,\gamma}}{c_{k,\gamma}-1} < \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

Finally, note that $x^{-\gamma} \in RH_r(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for $1 < r < 1/\gamma$.

Theorem 8. *Let $w \in RH_{\infty,k}$ for some $k \geq 3$ with constant c''_k. Then $w \in A_p$ for all $p > c''_k$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 2 using the dyadic covering of Theorem 7.

REMARK: Again the best range of p's in Theorem 8 is not as precise as the range in Theorem 2, but it is so within $\epsilon > 0$. As before, on $\mathbb{R}_+$, $w \in RH_{\infty,k}$ means

$$\sup_{kI\cap\mathbb{R}_+} w \leq c''_k \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w, k > 1.$$

Let $w(x) = x^r, r > 0$, and let $c_{k,r} = \inf c''_k$ appearing above. We claim that

$$c_{k,r} = (r+1)\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)^{r}.$$

To see this, let $I = [\alpha, \beta], \alpha > 0$. Then the right endpoint of kI is $b = \alpha + (\beta - \alpha)(k+1)/2$. Since x^r increases,

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w \geq \frac{1}{\beta}\int_0^{\beta} w = \frac{1}{r+1}\left(\frac{2}{k+1}\right)^{r} b_1^r,$$

where $b_1 = \beta(k+1)/2 > b = \sup w$ on kI. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is $0 < r = r_\epsilon$ such that $r + 1 + \epsilon > c_{k,r} > r + 1$. The right inequality is obvious for any $r > 0$, and the left inequality follows from the fact that as $r \to 0$, $c_{k,r} \to 1$ and $r + 1 + \epsilon \to 1 + \epsilon$. Finally note that $x^r \in A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ precisely when $p > r + 1$.

REMARK: The remaining Theorems in $\mathbb{R}^n$ corresponding to the Theorems 3,4,5,6 are the same with the proper change of constants.

REFERENCES

1. D.Cruz-Uribe, SFO and C.J. Neugebauer, *The stucture of the reverse Hölder classes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 2941-2960.
2. J.Garcia-Cuerva and J.L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North Holland Math. Studies **116**, North Holland 1985.
3. F.W. Gehring, *The L^p-integrability of the partial derivatives of a quasiconformal mapping*, Acta Math. **130** (1973), 265-277.
4. J. Kinnunen, *Sharp results on reverse Hölder inequalities*, Annales Acad. Scient. Fennicae , Dissertationes **95** (1994), 1-34..
5. ———, *A stability result on Muckenhoupt's weights*, Publ. Mat. **42** (1998), 153-163.
6. M.S. Riveros and A. de la Torre, *On the best ranges for A_p^+ and RH_r^+*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, LAFAYETTE, IN 47907
E-mail address: neug@math.purdue.edu